## REHEARSA

The Review of the 15th Commended for Abating of his Ill Language. Yet not over Civil. But in Hopes to Mend.

3. He owns Divine Right.

4. Of Inherent and Personal Right. And Separating the Authority from the Per-

5. His Defence of himself for Arraigning the Entail of the Crown upon the House of Hanover.

6. His Argument that it is not Hereditary because Limited.

7. Of the People being Bound by the Atts of their Predecessors. 8. His Argument from the Att of Succession being Repealable.

9. His Treatment of the Laws. And in this Present Review.
10. The Difference 'twixt Jure-Divino-Men and Whiggs in this Case.

## WEDNESDAY, August 21th 1706.

Ave you, Master, seen the Review of the 15th Instant, Vol. 3. N. 98. It is all upon you.

(1.) Rehearfal. I thank Mr. De Foe for it. Because I find not the Ill Names, and Broad Words he us'd formerly to bestow upon me. I hope he is Reclaim'd from that Course way of Treatment, which is Offensive to Men of Sense or Breeding. And if he does not Relapse, I Promise him he shall have no Occafion hereafter from me, other than fair Argument, without Personal Reflections, or Dirty Language, which concern not the Cause, but always Hurt it, on whatever side it is found. Having therefore made this Bargain (which is very Agrecable to me) let us see which of us shall break Articles sirst, And let the Fault lie upon him.

(2.) Country-m. You'r very forward, Ma-fier, to take all Opportunities for Mr. De Foe's Advantage. He's not fo Wondrous Civil to you in this same Review, where he turns you off to Roger the Country-Man of Mr. Observator, as the best Match for you, and says, you will Clamour, not Argue; Rail and Abuse where you cannot Oppose, and this is the proper Talent of the Man.

Rehears. This is his Discription of Roger. Country-m. No, Master, he means it of your self. And Concludes with saying, We ought to let him alone for a Trister, and one that indeed has a Cause will bear nothing but Tristing and Clamour. This is your self, Master, and

not Roger. He did not mean Roger's Cause.
Rehears. These are Civil words in Comparison of what I have Received from him betore. And I am Resolv'd to take every thing by the best Handle. He Mends; and I'll give him all Encouragement to Proceed. Therefore to his Argument.

(3.) Country-m. He begins, I have often Resolv'd to Meddle no more with the Dull Repetitions of the Champions for the Dostrin of JURE DIVINO. I think it sufficiently Expos'd, &c.

Rehears. Before we Enter upon that, I wou'd know wherein he and I differ about the Doctrin of Jure Divine. Country-m. Not Differ! That's a Strange

thing indeed! What bave you been Talk-

ing of all this Time? Have you brought him to Acknowledge any Jure Divino?

Rehearf. In the Abstract he has given Us

of his Great Satyr against Jure Divino, in his Review of last July 13. Vol. 3. N. 84. p. 334. he says, The Queen of ENGLAND has a DIVINE Right, and is a SACRED Princels. GOVERNMENT is DIVINE, and her Managarantees of TITLE to Execute it is DIVINE. jesty's TITLE to Execute it is DIVINE.

Country-m. And yet he fays in the same Page, That to say, the Kings or Queens of ENGLAND have an Inherent Divinity of TITLE in their Persons, by Lineal Succession, 12 a horrid Plot upon her Majestys Person and Government, and a Plain Suggestion, that the QUEEN has no Title to the Crown of ENGLAND. GLAND.

These are Bold words indeed! I wou'd not have faid half so much for all the Shoos in my Shop. But they feem to me to be a flat Contradiction to the words you have Quoted. He fays the Queen has a Divine Right: And yet, That it is a Horrid Plot upon her Majesty, to fay the has a Divine Right!

(4.) Rehears. You have miss'd the word Inherent, he tays, she has a Divine Right, but not Inberent in her Person.

Country-m. Where is it Inherent then? And if not in her Person, how comes she to be a Sacred Princess?

Rehears. This is the old Distinction that Rais'd the Rebellion against K. Char. I. And has been Damn'd by our Laws, which have Oblig'd both Clergy, Corporations and Militia to Abbor that Traiterous Position, of taking Arms by the King's Authority against his Person, or a-gainst those that are Commissionated by him. Country-m. Methinks, Master, there.

shou'd be no need of Laws to Damn that Foolish as well as Traiterous Position. For it is Rank Nonscense, as well as very Wicked. For I know no Right in the World but what is Personal. Nor any body that thinks otherwife in his own Concerns. Is not my Right to my House and Form, PERSONAL? That is, Inherent in my Person. Are not my Life, Liberty, and Property PERSONAL things? And can they be Separated from my Person, without Destroying them? Suppose Mr. De toe's Creditors shou'd say, we have all Compassion for an Indigent Debtor, but we will have no Mercy upon Daniel De Foe; how wou'd he like his own Dollrin? And yet

it won'd be nothing but Separating the Debter

from the Person

By this Distinction, I might Shoot a Judge upon the Bench, and say I kill'd only the Man, not the Judge. I may Kill the Man that is my Father, without Hurring my Father! As the Rebels said, they cut off the Head of Charles Stuart, without Touching the King! And is not this sine Dostrin to be now Presented to One on Ann. It is David had not Preach'd to Queen Ann? If David had understood this Piece of Divinity, he might have Slain Saul, without Touching the Lord's Anointed! But it is the Person is Anointed, and Consequently which is Sacred. And if the Right of Government be not Inherent in the Persons of the Governors, ther is no such thing as Gevernment on the Earth, or ever can be. The World is Dissolv'd, and all Rights and Relations among Mankind are at an

Whoever wou'd Distinguish the Authority of the Queen from her Person, open the way to have them Separated.

(5.) But, Master, I wou'd have your Opinion as to the Defence he makes, in his Review of the 15th. Instant, Num. 98. for a Danger you had Caution'd him of, in Arraigning the Heredstary Entail that is made of the Crown, calling Heredstary Monarchy an Hereditary Slavery, and an Encroachment upon the Rights of the People. To which he Replier, p. 391. By Asking you, How you will make out your Ridiculous Notion of an Hereditary Entail, and from what Principle, you can give the pre-fent Settlement of the Protestant Succession such an Absurd Title; the Settlement of the Crown being no way Hereditary, but so far and no farther, as the Successor shall Qualify himself to the Conditions prescrib'd by the People of England land, viz. Being a Protestant, Confirming and Swearing to Maintain the Privileges and Rights of the People, and Consenting to submit to the Laws and Constitutions of this Realm.

\* Rehears. Do's the King Swear to Submit to the Laws? Is that the Form of the Coronation-Oath? No sure! But to Maintain and Defend the Laws, and to Govern his People by them. That is the Language of a Governor. But to Submit to the Laws is the Duty of a Suljett. And we know why the Term of Submutting is here given to the King, to make him the Subjett, and the People the

Soveraign!

In the next place, the Successor is to be Proclaim'd Immediatly upon the Demise of the Queen, without staying for his or her Coronation, or their Oaths and Premises to Main-tain the Laws, &c. And it will then be Treafon to Oppose their Title. K. William was Proclaim'd the 13th. of Feb. But was not Crown'd till the 11th. of April following. Yet was as much King before as after. And the same thing had been Treason before as after. And if Mr. Do Foe had try'd the Experiment, and Committed Treason against K. Will before the 11th of April, and Pleaded that the King was not Crown'd, he wou'd have been told, that Coronation or the Oath then taken by the King, was not Effential, but that his Right and Title was Precedent to it.

(6.) Country-m. But Mr. De Foe's main Argument is, that the present Settlement of the Grown is not Hereditary, because there is a Limitation in it, that the Successor be a

Rehearf. Is not the Crown of HEREDITARY? Yet there is the Salique Law which excludes the Females. A Li. mitation do's not hinder Hereditary, because the next Heir that is Capable, do's Succeed And Mr. De Foe's Reason against Hereditary Monarchy, Reaches this Case as much as any other. His Reason is, (as I have before Quoted him) That is hinders the People from their Electing their Kings, and fo is an Encreachment upon their Right, and Consequently an Hereditary Stavery, as he Calls it. Now if any in the Line be Uncapable, according to the Settlement, the Crown Descends to the next in Blood, and the Election of the People is totally Precluded, while any of that Royal Line lasts in the World, which probably speaking, may be to the End of it.

(7.) And thus People are Ty'd up by the Acts of their Predecessors, which this same Review, p. 390. Makes down right Treason against the Queen and Government. But I wou'd have him take Advice in Lan, whether the Contrary, which he Afferts, be not down right Treason against the present Settlement of the Crown, in saying our Posterities are not bound by it? And in faying, That the Present Settlement of the Crown is no way Hereditary. And that it is a Ridiculous Notion, and Absurd Title.

T

th

33

Di

tha

Go

Bla

He

Ma

and

Foc

an

Peop

Cros

I he

he

Scrip

15 t or S

it w

Men

King whe

R that

fo A

of t

King!

in th

Strat. Co tion (

King

too.

Gospel

and Kingo

(8.) Country-m. But he fays, in a former Review upon this Subject, That this All of Settlement upon the House of Hanover may

be Repeal'd.

Repears. Who doubts that? So may every All of Parliament. But is it not of Force therefore, till it be Repeal'd? And is it not Treason in the mean time for any by Word or Writing to oppose that Succession? And has not Mr. De Foe, with all his Might, oppositit, and still continues so to do?

(9.) Country-m. He is us'd to make free with our Laws, even while they are of Force, calling the Ast of Uniformity a Scandalous and Ami-Christian Act, and the Ast about Debrois a Murdering and a Wicked Law, as you have before Queted him. And now in this same Review he fays, p. 391. Tis plain the Law of Escapes is a most Bloody Ast, with other il Names he there gives it.

(10.) Rehears. Ther may be Reasons for Repealing of Laws. And we may offer these our Reasons, but with that Modesty and Deference to our Legislators as becomes Dutifull and Obedient Subjetts, and not Exceeding our Station in the Government. This a Jure-Divino-Man wou'd think an Indispensible Obligation upon him, even in Conscience, not to Revile the Gods, that is, our Rulers, tho' Acting Unjuftly, and Contrary to the Law, as in the Cafe of St. Paul Ast xxiii. 3, 4, 5. But Whiggs who think themselves the Original of Government, treat their Governors and the Laws, as being Above them, and not Accountable to them, and therefore Beslave them as they

ADVERTISEMENTS. HE Establishment of the Church, the Preservation of the State.

Collection of papers concerning what hath been Transacted in the Convocation.